

# San Juan Headwaters Forest Health Partnership Virtual Meeting Friday, December 18, 2020

**Location:** Virtual Zoom Meeting

**Regular Meeting:** 9:00 AM – 11:00 AM

In attendance: Dana Hayward (MSI), Al Myatt (WAP Board), Matt Ford (Clean Forest Energy/Pagosa Forest Products), Doug Secrist (SJWCD), Mercedes Siegle-Gaither (NRCS/CSFS), Bill Trimarco (WAP), Jerry Archuleta (NRCS), Matt Tuten (SJNF), Herb Grover (Weminuche Audubon, Lower Blanco POA), Jimbo Buickerood (SJCA), Mike Remke (MSI), Emily Swindell (MSI), Keith Bruno (Weminuche Audubon), Roger Jensen (retired FS)

## VIRTUAL MEETING NOTES

#### 9:00 – 9:10 Welcome and Introductions

## 9:10 – 9:45 Updates

- Thank Yous and Appreciations for Kevin Khung!
  - Kevin is one of the core folks that started this group and it's sad to see him go.
    He's been instrumental in the work of this group. Anyone willing to create
    more work for themselves for the good of their district is someone we should
    appreciate.
  - He's been a huge impact in this area, has brought a new way of doing work, and has pushed us forward in doing forest and resource management.
  - o Going to miss the office culture he created.
  - o Not going to be the same, we'll definitely miss him!
- EOY
  - o Complete it!
  - o Report and results available by January meeting
- FRWRM (Forest Restoration and Wildfire Risk Mitigation) Grant
  - Submitted by Mercedes and Dana on 12.11.20
     □ Not a lot of funding this year, about \$700,000
     □ Forest Atlas online mapping tool created with new FAP used
     □ Thank you for letters of support!
     □ Timeline
    - Pre-award information in February
    - Final Decision April 1

to Forest Service land

- ~6 applications turned in to DRO CSFS office
- Hoping to complete private lands fuel mitigation and ecosystem work done using this grant as EQUIP funding has been unreliable
  - □ EQUIP could serve as valuable added match if its available
     □ Would help to fund work around WUI and other focus areas adjacent
  - $\ \square$  Grant written to treat ~50 ac in Four Mile drainage
- Timeline
  - ☐ Will get pre-award information in February

☐ Final decision by April 1	
<ul> <li>The grant addresses properties in Mercedes target area. The application wante landowner commitment before establishing funding, and Mercedes is working</li> </ul>	
with landowners to create inventories of WUI properties in her area.	
Relevant partner updates	
o SJNF	
□ Working with Bill T. and Ashley D. on a CAFA proposal	
□ Scoping for the Turkey Springs project has begun	
<ul> <li>Scoping docs can be accessed via <u>Forest Service website</u></li> </ul>	
Comments accepted through Feb 1, 2021	
<ul> <li>SJNF to share link with Pagosa Lake Property Owners</li> </ul>	
Association (PLPOA)	
<ul> <li>Anticipating decision analysis in spring and early summer 2021</li> </ul>	
This vegetation management project is a continuation of	
what's been happening the last 15-20 years	
<ul> <li>CAFA Proposal</li> <li>Same proposal as Stollsteimer Creek Low Income Mitigation</li> </ul>	
Program, 2017, in a different location	
Lower Blanco/Navajo area where the goal is to protect	
properties and to enable conditions for managed fire in the	
backcountry and nearby wilderness area	
<ul> <li>Versions of this proposal have been put out a few times and</li> </ul>	
this proposal aims to serve the entire Navajo/Blanco	
Watershed with the primary target area being the Lower	
Blanco Basin. In this area there are a number of homes	
surrounded by national forest where work is slated or occurring.	
☐ Lot of things coming together to make this a great proposal	
<ul> <li>Pagosa Fire District retracted their backing</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Looking to work with San Juan Conservation District for 2021 proposal</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Funders struggle with proposals without specific landowner</li> </ul>	
commitments, but it's hard to get commitments from proper	
owners for funding that may or may not be secured	
<ul> <li>Goal is to identify the strategic nature of unmitigated areas</li> </ul>	
even if they aren't next to each other because we are filling mitigated areas	in
☐ Looking for funding through FEMA as well	
CFLRP	
☐ This group has gotten a lot of good press in the last year for the great	ŧ
work we've gotten done	
☐ Forest Service is thinking about how to organize Rio Chama CFLRP	,
<ul> <li>Talked with Jack Lewis on Carson National Forest about</li> </ul>	
trying to schedule meetings in January to see how that's	
going to work – 4 forests working together will not be simple	le
o WAP	

 $\hfill\Box$  Funding has come through for chipper rebate program across 3

	counties: La Plata. Archuleta, and Montezuma – a popular program  ■ Have some funds to spend in La Plata County  □ Paper about FAC WUI grant to use on cost sharing work for PLPOA
	<ul> <li>About 2 years' worth of work done</li> </ul>
0	Al Myatt
9	□ 2020 Activities
	<ul> <li>Helped Pagosa Fire with video about defensible space</li> </ul>
	Chipper project
	<ul> <li>Loma Linda tree planting project</li> </ul>
	received community i newige objection
	o 30 Homeowners responded
	• Tree planting essentially pro bono
0.45 40.00	o \$450 in donations from some residents
	Collaboration and Public Participation: Forest Leadership Meeting Dec 17
	riew of meeting, participants, outcomes and next steps
0	FLT is driving this effort including district rangers, Kara Chadwick, and Jason
	Lawhon
0	SJNF Leadership asking for feedback about an internal document they are
	developing about how to engage with public comments and collaborative
	participation
	□ Proactive planning for large initiatives across the forest like CFLRP
	☐ Considering how we're going to tackle the complexity and potential
	challenges of communication as we move forward with large scale
	initiatives that involve many stakeholders
	• Colorado CFLRP is the only one that involves more than 1
	collaborative group
	• Forest and watershed groups
	☐ Courtney Shultz (CSU) is interested in how we will navigate this
	situation, as we all are
	<ul> <li>How to utilize funding and leverage funds on public lands and across boundaries</li> </ul>
0	Went through SJNF protocols and shared different stages of communication:
O	
	<ul><li>3 goals</li><li>Clarify the decision-making process and identify how the</li></ul>
	Forest will operate using the SPP model
	<ul> <li>Identify the role of forest participation in different stages of</li> </ul>
	the SPP model
	<ul> <li>Increase transparency and processes for public input</li> </ul>
0	Model: Spectrum of Public Participation developed by the International
G	Association for Public Participation (IAP2)
	☐ 4 buckets/stages of communication
	1) Inform
	• 2) Consult
	<ul><li>3) Involve</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>4) Collaborate</li> </ul>
0	SJNF soliciting feedback from collaborative facilitators about:
	☐ The kinds of activities fit into the 4 categories
	☐ How to define which space on the SPP spectrum we're on at any given
	time during a project

	☐ How the SJNF defines and communicates its responsibility to follow
	through with specific types of communication and on what timeline
0	The SJNF SPP is ot be a living document that is evaluated for effectiveness at
	regular intervals
0	Will share notes from meeting when available
-	ts and implications for SJHFHP
0	We have this spectrum framework <i>as well as</i> active and productive discussions
	in our collaborative groups with Forest Service employees as participants
	One of the concept questions: How do forest service employees
	navigate they're role in collaboratives as federal employees?  It's important to consider existing relationships and channels of communication
0	that are already effective and how we can incorporate those into this framework
	and its application
0	Group Feedback
· ·	☐ Headwaters has history and confidence about how things work that is
	unique across SJNF
	<ul> <li>Opportunity to scale and share our success of working to</li> </ul>
	other parts of the forest
	<ul> <li>Not sure if anything specific needs to change at this time</li> </ul>
	☐ The West side of the SJNF is getting a lot of funding and new
	stakeholders
	<ul><li>4 Rivers, DWRF</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Lots of new activity at scale (acres treated annually, new</li> </ul>
	industry)
	<ul> <li>USFS is trying to figure out as an organization how</li> </ul>
	collaboratives and collaboration works best
10:00 – 10:15	5 Financials and 2021 Budget
• 20211	Draft budget process
0	Finance committee has been hard at work since November
	☐ Will send draft budget for review, along with prioritization
	spreadsheet
	☐ Goal is to approve as a group at January 2021 meeting
	☐ Quarterly budget reviews to occur in 2021
0	SJHFHP is not an independent entity because our fiscal agent is Mountain
	Studies Institute (MSI)
	☐ Because we have multiple grants routed through MSI, and have funds
	considered unrestricted, our budgeting process is complex
0	Process
	☐ Based on feedback from Education/Outreach and understanding of
	collaborative group interests, a Wishlist 2021 was created
	☐ Items were prioritized as A (must do), B (would like to do), or C (a
	priority, but not this year)
	☐ Budgeted by quarter for each bucket of funding for 2021 to create a budget projection
	☐ More rigorous process than we've done in the past, not as rigorous as
	direct quotes for projects, but did a good job at getting to Action
	Plan/Action List and assigning dollar figures to those items
0	Additional Information
9	☐ Items specific to unrestricted funds/revenue appear at the bottom of
	11

		the budget
		Funds that come from grants (or other sources we have in hand) are
		categorized as expenses and represent the amount we have to draw out
		of our unrestricted fund balance each quarter
		<ul> <li>Helps us to understand beginning and end of year balances</li> </ul>
0	Key iter	ms
		~\$24,000 unrestricted funds left over for 2020
		Goal is to have \$22,000 in unrestricted funds at the end of 2021
		<ul> <li>Based on: 60% for coordinator for ½ year</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Want to be able to function next year even if we don't get</li> </ul>
		new sources of funding (projected reserve from 2021 into
		2022)
0	Expense	es: 3 main categories
		Cohesive MOD 1: expires July 31 2021
		■ ~\$53,000 remaining
		o Spent in Q1, Q2, and some wrap up in Q3
		CAFA Funding: Low Income Mitigation Program
		<ul> <li>1 major project left will take place after snow melts; is</li> </ul>
		prescheduled and approved
		<ul> <li>Released subcontract in Q2 finished in beginning of Q3</li> </ul>
		• Some expenditure in Q1
		Donations: Budgeted to receive same amount of large donations as
		received in 2020 • 2020: \$17,000
		2020. \$17,000
		o 1) Banded Peak Ranch
		<ul><li>2) Alpine Cascade Ranch</li><li>3) Pagosa Water Sanitation District</li></ul>
		<ul> <li>3) Pagosa Water Sanitation District</li> <li>4) San Juan Water Conservation District</li> </ul>
		In the future, we need to:
		<ul> <li>Expand our pool of large donations</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Get more small donations</li> </ul>
		Did not budget any for 2021
		• Usually \$200-\$300, want closer to \$1,000
		<ul> <li>Share your fundraising ideas with the Ed/Outreach and</li> </ul>
		Finance Committee
		<ul> <li>Looking for fun, effective ways to raise money</li> </ul>
		and/or find other groups and associations interested
		in donating
		o Remember: grants generally don't allow for the
		general operating of a collaborative
0	2020	
		Total revenue = money raised + money spent out of the grants =
		~\$106,000
		Difference between expenses and revenue: amount of draw from cash
		account
0	2021	
		Total projected expenses = \$112,000
		Q1: ~15%
		Q2: heavy to wrap up Cohesive MOD 1

	Q3: mostly direct expenditure by SJHFHP, some leftover from Cohesive MOD 1
П	Q4: only available funds will be unrestricted as all current grants will
	be exhausted. Will need to continue fundraising efforts in 2021 to
	support operations in 2022
o Summa	arized action list and budget projection to be distributed
	Finance committee met 5x to put this together and spent ~8-12
	hours/person
	<ul> <li>Thank You Matt Tuten, Doug Secrist, Steve Hartvigsen, Dana Hayward, and Matt Ford, and Aaron Kimple and the Ed/Outreach Committee for reviewing documents and</li> </ul>
2021 MGI	feedback
_	eement and IDC rate
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	get routed through MSI as the SJHFHP fiscal sponsor
o Annual January	l agreement between Headwaters and MSI that will be up for renewal in
	Document will be given to the group in January – signatories, board
	member, Marcie Bidwell, and Headwaters Finance Committee
	and indirect cost rate will be different from this year, but everything else
will ren	main the same as the document was updated in 2020
	working for everyone
-	acity, funding for coordination, projects, and work on the ground
o CFRI	
	Funding for Mixed Conifer monitoring
	<ul> <li>Some flexibility on how to spend CFRI contractor money to</li> </ul>
	achieve outputs identified in that agreement
	<ul> <li>Agreement developed a few years ago and some funding aimed at building capacity at MSI, much of which has been</li> </ul>
	developed
	<ul> <li>Working through how remainder of work outlined in the agreement will get done</li> </ul>
	Is this "leftover" contracting funding an opportunity to review the B-
	item on the priorities list for 2021?
	<ul> <li>Current science associated with spatial patterns and</li> </ul>
	monitoring options?
	<ul> <li>There's a need for this information and an effort like</li> </ul>
	this could dovetail into this funding.
	<ul> <li>Beyond what's in the budget now, looking forward to CFRI</li> </ul>
	projects with 10% of funds going towards monitoring. There
	will likely be a way to work out an agreement between CFRI
	and MSI to address that kind of monitoring into 2022. Stay
	tuned!
	<ul> <li>This prioritization discussion is a prime example of how to</li> </ul>
	use the Action List we've created for 2021.

o budget ~\$2,500 for Science Literature review Zoom presentation on monitoring efforts to group.

o Perhaps another opportunity to talk about spatial patterns integrated into prescriptions for desired conditions.

## 10:1

o Study Sites & Results

15	- 10:45	Weminuche Audubon
•	Rocky	Mountain Community Science Conference, Dec 4
	0	Presentation will be posted to Headwaters website and made available to
		Headwaters members and public
	0	Highlights & Take-Aways
		☐ Keynote speaker: Ellen Candler from Univ of MN monitoring gut
		piles with game cameras to better understand ecology
		☐ Zooniverse Platform used
		<ul> <li>Many researchers used for remote watching/sensing</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Researcher offered to help with bird monitoring efforts as</li> </ul>
		supplemental service via Zooniverse
		☐ Urban City Nature Challenge
		<ul> <li>Bio Blitz – bio inventory</li> </ul>
		□ Nature Conservancy
		<ul> <li>Used Zooniverse to understand trail usage</li> </ul>
		☐ Closing speaker: Ecologist Jason Baldes National Wildlife Foundation
		tribal coordinator
		<ul> <li>Restoring bison genetics and return bison to the landscape</li> </ul>
	0	Keith Bruno's presentation
		☐ Provided background about SJHFHP integration with bird monitoring
		project
		☐ Focus on objectives pertaining to research questions, and community
		science objectives (building scientific literacy), and the value of
		improving skills through education projects like this
		Gave sense of where we're going with this work and potential
_	2020 1	expansion into ASCC project sites
•		Bird monitoring community science results
	0	2 <sup>nd</sup> year report: Effects of Rx fire and shrub-layer Mastication on Bird
		Communities in Ponderosa Pine Forests of the San Juan Mountains, CO Project is affecting multiple generations of community scientists!
	0	☐ Marie Smith won scholarship from the chapter to attend bird camp in
		summer 2020
		□ 35+ total participants
		• 2019: 25 participants at 400hrs
		2020: 29 participants at 800 hours
	0	Objectives
	O	☐ Investigate potential effects of fire mitigation on bird communities
		☐ Promote better understanding of the roles fire plays in Ponderosa pine
		ecology
		□ Provide opportunities to improve birding skills
		☐ Help participants better understand how field studies are conducted
		☐ Strengthen sense of community among chapter members

☐ Sample season: last wknd in May to 1<sup>st</sup> wknd in July

		Turkey Springs/Brockover Mesa  2019
		<ul> <li>Rx fire late May/early June</li> </ul>
		<b>2</b> 020
		<ul> <li>Substantial recovery of shrub layer</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Want to quantify recovery in subsequent years</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Species observed</li> </ul>
		0 2019: 26
		o 2020: 37 Fawn Gulch
		■ 2017
		<ul> <li>Mastication with Rx fire prior to 2017</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Well-developed shrub layer</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Species observed</li> </ul>
		o 2019: 40
		o 2020: 39
		Jackson Mountain
		<ul> <li>Untreated site – many years since last logging or fire</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Ponderosa pine forest with diverse tree layer and thick</li> </ul>
		understory component
		<ul><li>Species observed</li><li>2019: 33</li></ul>
		o 2020: 45
		72 different species observed
		<b>2</b> 019: 54
		<b>2</b> 020: 58
0	Takeaw	ays
		More points in 2020 where birds were recorded and a greater
	_	abundance of birds: reflects sample density
		Turkey Springs "recovered" numbers of bird species from 2019 to
		2020 → Rx fire recovery in shrub layer
		40 common species across all sites → increased sampling, catching most of species present (sample density)
		Greatest number of species: Fawn Gulch in 2019 and Jackson
		Mountain in 2020 (sample density)
		Increased community diversity in 2020 → more even distribution of
		birds across species (sample density)
		Feeding Guilds
		<ul> <li>Increase substantially at Turkey Springs → improved habitat</li> </ul>
		for species with Ground Brush Foraging feeding habits
		<ul> <li>Nuthatches and other similar species might be responding to</li> </ul>
		habitat quality
		Nuthatches are known for high population variability
		annually, so we can't tell yet  Greater number of flycatchers species at Fawn Gulch across
		<ul> <li>Greater number of flycatchers species at Fawn Gulch across all years compared to other sites</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Something is important but haven't quantified what</li> </ul>
		that is yet

П	"Nest Web" similar to "Food Web"
	<ul> <li>Keystone species</li> </ul>
	Eg: Northern Flicker will create cavities
	<ul> <li>Secondary species</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Eg: nuthatches, chickadees, swallow, and western</li> </ul>
	bluebird rely on preexisting cavities
	<ul> <li>Tertiary species</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Eg: black-capped chickadee not as particular and will use exiting cavity if available</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Promote decomposition of woody tissue because of fungal</li> </ul>
	spore on beak – nutrient cycling in snags
	Species of Concern
	<ul> <li>Lewis' Woodpecker, Warbling Vireo, Cassin's Finch,</li> </ul>
	Common Nighthawk, Pine Siskin, Band-tailed Pigeon,
	Grace's warbler
	Major Successes
	<ul> <li>Valuable education experience, improved birding skills, and</li> </ul>
	improved scientific literacy for observers  Increased dialogue between stakeholders and managers
	<ul><li>Increased dialogue between stakeholders and managers</li><li>Strengthened sense of community</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Enhanced conservation ethic</li> </ul>
	Moving Forward
	<ul> <li>Continue monitoring</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Expand into ASCC project</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Survey participants</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Learning experiences regarding forest and fire</li> </ul>
	ecology
	<ul> <li>Improved birding skills</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Conservation ethic</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>In-group cohesiveness</li> </ul>
	Final Thoughts
	<ul> <li>Short term: fuel reduction treatments simplify forest</li> </ul>
	composition, structure, and reduce bird abundance but we're
	seeing recovery of populations and habitat use within a few
	years
	• Long-term: decline of bird species richness and abundance
	when natural fires/thinning absent
	<ul><li>Interannual variability needs better understanding</li><li>Snags critical for nesting</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Landscape heterogeneity favors resilience of bird</li> </ul>
	communities in response to fire and thinning
ristmas Rird	Count is on December 19 <sup>th</sup> !
	Keith and Herb:
	oservers noticed anything related to habitat or bird numbers related to
	n? Are plots at same elevation?
	All plots are within ~100ft of the same elevation. At Jackson
	Mountain there's a bit more topography/slope compared to other sites.
	Difficult to find untreated sites to use in study.

Christmas Questions ○ Will monitoring efforts expand into ASCC site on Jackson Mountain?

 □ Potential options to do some bird monitoring in treatment sires in this area.
 ○ Everyone invited, via Zoom, to presentation to membership in April – Keith planning bird ID workshops in May
 ○ It must be difficult to cancel data "noise" because of annual variability – could you incorporate a baseline study using 2-3 of your best birders at each site for 1-2x years to compare broader monitoring efforts?
 □ 2020: All 3 sites had an advanced birder team hit it 6 times. We did try to add this factor in for cleaner dataset, and there may be a more tangible way to do this at the ASCC sites.
 □ Have gotten some pretty accurate data from 2020

#### 10:45 – 11:00 Closing, Next Steps, Other Business

- Turkey/Devil Creek scoping reminder (Dec 17 release)
- Outdoor Restoration Force Act introduction and feedback (small group)
  - Senator Bennet's office drafting Outdoor Restoration Force Act and we have a small group of folks giving feedback in early January
  - o Bennet is looking for a cosponsor on the bill
- Coming up in January: Agreement with MSI, final budget and approval, 2021 priorities
- Thank you for a great year!
  - o Happy holidays!
  - o Consider a donation of any size to Headwaters
    - □ CARES Act: even if you don't itemize charitable contributions you can donate \$300 from off bottom line and not pay taxes if you're going to donate, donate now
- Closing thoughts: Thank You!