San Juan Headwaters Forest Health Partnership
Notes July 18, 2014

Attending: Bev Warburton, Thurman Wilson, Jason Todd, JR Ford, Steve Hartvigsen, Bob Frye, Roberta Tolan, Matt Ford, Marcie Bidwell, Jimbo Buickerood, Darlene Marcus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO</th>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>WHEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Talk with Town (Jim Miller and Tom Crisalis) about how to proceed with informing the public about Reservoir Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Get in touch with the Folk Fest Folks about Reservoir Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Talk to Steve and Kent about marking exercise for cit sci monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>Bring digital display materials for County Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Order and build display for County Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Organize volunteers for County Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve, Aaron, and Jimbo</td>
<td>Work to plan a Spruce/ fir workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Welcome and Introductions (5 min)

II. Updates (20 min)
   a. Grants
      i. The DNR grant
         1. SJHFHP has been encouraged to re-submit the grant
         2. There was one miscommunication over how the grant will support the community that held up the application
      ii. WUI Grant
         1. Firewise submitted a WUI grant that included money for San Juan Headwaters education and outreach. Aaron and Bill will talk about how to coordinate. – Thank you Firewise!
            a. $13,500 for coordination
            b. $13,500 for Archuleta Firewise
2. We are currently exploring another application that would include money to help advance the SJHFHP model to communities in Montezuma

iii. CSFS Reservoir Hill
   1. This grant was approved for $63 K
      a. We need to be careful about how we approach the community
      b. Need to educate the public
   2. Press Release – Aaron
      a. Talk to Town about how to proceed
      b. We need to front load the conversation with goals and purpose.
      c. Parks and rec committee
         i. Tom Crisalis
         ii. Jim Miller
      d. Folk Fest Committee
         i. Dan – check web – Steve will get in touch with them
      e. Informational signage
      f. CSFS mark with Jim Miller’s help
   3. No money for a sign
      a. Maybe Jim has money
      b. Long-term informational that can be moved
      c. City more project oriented
   4. Table and fliers (?)
      iv. Four Mile grant
         1. Moving forward
         2. Thinning has been done
         3. Much of the monitoring has been done
   b. Mulch
      i. Made some sales
      ii. One check that bounced – account closed
   c. Rural Philanthropy Days
      i. Aaron attended on behalf of the SJHFHP
      ii. This was a good venue and attendees were interested in the groups activities
   d. West Fork Fire Tour
      i. Nearly 40 attendees
      ii. Good conversation and substantive
      iii. Good history lesson
   e. Citizen Science
      i. Had field day in June
      ii. Another one tomorrow (July 19)
      iii. Protocols are together
      iv. It seems that it has helped attendance to have bird walk before hand
f. WUI proposal for 2015
   i. We are working on developing proposal for advancing to the west side of the San Juan National Forest
   ii. CSFS is having a forest strategy meeting that may help. Fall?

III. Budget Discussion and Expenditure Approval (40 min)
   a. Budget Presentation
      i. Many of the grants are coming to an end so there were a lot of expenditures on the accounts that are closing
      ii. It would be in the interest of the group to develop a better income report
         1. Standard chart of accounts
         2. Transition to a report from quick books
         3. Track each grant separately
         4. Send reports to Bob and Bev
         5. Short narrative
            a. Translation of what goes where and what the recent expenditures include
      iii. Bev suggested approval of $16,643.91
         1. No discussion was requested
         2. The group Approved expenditures for MSI
         3. The group Approved expenditures for JR
   b. Organization moving forward
      i. We are working to secure the future of the SJHFHP
   c. Fundraising Plan
      i. A committee was formed to help develop the plan. It includes:
         1. JR
         2. Mary Jo
         3. Matt Ford
         4. Bev
      ii. Should this happen before the strategic plan?
         1. There was a discussion of timing for the development of the financial plan.
         2. It was decided that we begin to develop the plan and adjust if needed with input from the strategic plan.
      iii. Group includes a lot of talent
         1. It was suggested that we currently do not have a hussler from community
            a. Do we pressure JR too much?
            b. Does the community lack husslers?
         2. Is there a way to reach the broader community
            a. It can be difficult because we regularly meet during the work day
            b. We need to outreach to Stakeholder groups
            c. People like to do rather than attend meetings
            d. There needs to be a vested interest

IV. Spruce-fir Management – a worthwhile pursuit? (40 min)
a. What are the issues?
   i. USFS gets regular inquiry on what to do with spruce
   ii. Beetle have made the issues more prominent
   iii. The USFS is asking Can we manage spruce/ fir? How?
   iv. The other question asked is how do beetles effect fires?
   v. Impacts from beetles are appearing in Ouray, Molas and Coal Bank
   vi. Does spruce grow in areas that are suitable for removal for production
   vii. Little Sand vs. West Fork
      1. West Fork unprecedented in acreage and intensity
      2. Is this because of beetle?
   viii. What is range of variation in beetle kill
      1. May have changed regardless of beetle
   ix. Cause for beetle outbreak and mortality is not just one thing

b. How can this group help?
   i. Help in discussing the Ecological, social, and economic needs
   ii. USFS is strong in ecological- not so in social and economic
   iii. It has been easier to manage ponderosa – there is justification
      1. Values at risk
   iv. Justification tougher to sell ecologically, socially, economically
      1. Shorter season
      2. Endangered species
      3. No WUI
      4. Attacking trees smaller than normal
   v. Is there a need for active management in spruce fir?
      1. Scale and type?
      2. It seems that we need more time to discuss
      3. There is a need for prioritization
      4. How do we tell the public/ inform
         a. Need to get out ahead and try some management
      5. The group stay informed and help spread the message
      6. There is a need for the USFS to concentrate money and people on where can make a difference?
      7. Aaron, Steve, Jimbo will set up a separate meeting to further discuss spruce/ fir management
         a. Bring in key people and
         b. Beetle discussion?
         c. Scope – spruce fir management/ forest health
            i. Treatments
            ii. Where
            iii. Scope and size
            iv. PRD with invites to others from other forest
            v. What do we do now – not a beetle problem
V. Upcoming Events (10 min)
   a. Connecting for Conservation – A possible lunch is scheduled for September 18
   b. Citizen Science
      i. Pika Net
      ii. July 19
   c. Archuleta County Fair
      i. We have discussed the possibility of setting up a booth
      ii. Volunteers are needed to sit at the booth – August 2 and 3
         1. Roberta
         2. Bev – Sunday
         3. JR
         4. Steve
         5. Matt
      iii. Digital Materials
   d. Old Growth Conference is happening in Durango August 4,5
   e. Once Upon a Forest
      i. Special movie showing August 3

VI. Action Items (5 min)
   a. Tim Reader CSFS for meeting in August
   b. Matt Bring digital materials
   c. Aaron build presentation for
   d. Aaron order tri-fold
   e. Steve, Aaron, Jimbo arrange spruce/fir management workshop